# The impact of structural reforms of the judicial system: a survey<sup>1</sup>

Ana F. Gouveia<sup>2</sup>, Sílvia Santos<sup>3</sup> , Corinna Herber<sup>4\*</sup>

## Abstract

This paper surveys the literature on the impact of structural reforms of the judicial system. We focus on two key types of reforms: those enhancing the overall efficiency of the system, in terms of quantitative outcomes; and those aiming at enhancing the bankruptcy regime. In the first branch, and given the way the existing literature is organized, we proceed in two steps. We first provide an overview of the studies linking judicial reforms with sectoral performance. We then elaborate on the effects of this improved performance on economic outcomes. In the second branch, we directly present the impact on economic outcomes, in particular concerning access to finance and investment. In a nutshell, reforms that increase courts' increase spending oninformation size and communication technologies (ICT), improve governance or foster education and training have a positive impact on judicial efficiency, which, in turn, promotes investment, ensures better credit and allows firms to thrive. Concerning bankruptcy regimes, there is evidence that a more efficient system is related with a lower cost of funding and a higher amount and length of credit in the economy and consequently with more investment. innovation and entrepreneurship. These empirical results highlight the relevance of promoting judicial system reforms, as a way to ensure sustained economic growth.

**Keywords:** *Structural reforms; judicial system; bankruptcy regimes, economic growth.* 

JEL Classification: C22, E24, E31, J64

GABINETE DE PLANEAMENTO, ESTRATÉGIA, AVALIAÇÃO E RELAÇÕES INTERNACIONAIS MINISTÉRIO DAS FINANÇAS E DA ADMINISTRAÇÃO PÚBLICA Av. Infante D. Henrique, 1C – 1° 1100 – 278 LISBOA www.gpeari.min-financas.pt

 $^1$  The authors would like to thanks comments from José Carlos Pereira (GPEARI) and the participants at the GPEARI/GEE seminar "Structural reforms and economic performance" held on 22 June 2016.

ana.gouve ia @gpeari.min-financas.pt.

 $^3\ {\rm GPEARI}-{\rm Portuguese}$  Finance Ministry.

<sup>4</sup> University of Deutsche Bundesbank.

## 1. Introduction

Structural reforms are crucial to ensure a flexible and resilient economy and promote sustained growth, as widely recognized both by national authorities<sup>5</sup> and by international organizations<sup>6</sup>. In a context of financial and economic crisis, many countries have implemented different structural reforms in areas such as the labor and product markets, education and R&D, fiscal frameworks or the judicial system. Quantifying the impact of these reforms is of paramount importance to inform policy makers (both ex-ante, before the implementation of the reform, and ex-post, to allow for fine-tuning and correction measures) and for political economy considerations, as a clear understanding of the benefits of the reforms (which may entail some short run costs<sup>7</sup>) is essential to ensure support.

In this survey we focus on the impact of judicial reforms, in particular those in two key areas: (i) overall efficiency enhancing reforms<sup>8</sup> and (ii) reforms improving the bankruptcy regimes.

An efficient judicial system is considered a necessary condition for creditors to invest, as it is key to ensure contract enforcement. A judicial system that takes too long to take decisions is therefore innefective and highly detrimental for the economy, with important consequences for efficient business dynamics, credit markets and resource allocation. Also, it entails important costs that are particularly important in countries where fiscal consolidation is needed.

At the same time, a well-functioning bankruptcy regime, in particular in a context of high private indebtedness, is critical to ensure that resources are efficiently allocated and, ultimately, to promote sustained growth. Given that, in a context of financial distress, debtors' incapacity to re-pay its lenders on time increases, reforms that promote efficient and effective bankruptcy

 $<sup>^2\ {\</sup>rm GPEARI}$  – Portuguese Finance Ministry and Nova School of Business and Economics. Corresponding author:

<sup>\*</sup> As opiniões expressas no artigo são da responsabilidade das autoras podendo não coincidir com as da instituição. Todos os erros e omissões são da responsabilidade das autoras.

 $<sup>^5</sup>$  See, for instance, the <u>Portuguese National Reforms Programme for 2016</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Please see, for instance, the European Commission <u>Annual Growth</u> <u>Survey 2016</u>; the ECB <u>Mario Draghi's speech of 9 June 2016</u>; or the OECD document <u>Structural Reforms in Europe: achievements and</u> <u>homework</u>.

 $<sup>^7</sup>$  For a discussion on short-run costs, see, for instance, Cacciatore, Duval and Fiori (2012).

 $<sup>^8</sup>$  Issues related to quality/independence of the judiciary are also important but are outside the scope of this survey. See, for instance Botero et al (2003).

systems are key to promote the channelling of funds to economically viable firms (promoting restructuring), without sustaining the activity of non-viable firms (i.e. creating incentives for liquidation).

Indeed, reforms of the bankruptcy law that allow for faster and orderly processes, for instance by reducing the number of administrative steps to follow in a liquidation process or by coordinating the position of all creditors, bring important gains for the economy. In particular, the reduction in the value of the firm is minimized by decreasing the inherent administrative costs and avoiding assets' prices dilution. Additionally, an efficient liquidation process increases creditors' reimbursement expectations as it foresees the coordination of the actions to take control of firm's collateral (secured debt) or firm's assets (unsecured debt). In this vein, the reform of the liquidation process should also lead to the reduction of the cost of finance and to the increase of firms' availability of funds, thereby promoting investment.

In what regards the reforms of the process of debt' restructuring, the final outcome will depend on the relative weight of two opposite effects: in fact, although reforms may lead to efficiency gains (as again, creditors' coordination preserves firms' value), they are also responsible for the decrease of the debtor repayment incentives (misaligned incentives). Therefore, depending on the intensity of these effects, a reform of the debt' restructuring regimes could have a positive or negative effect in the economy.

This survey is organized as follows: for the first type of reforms (Section 2), those enhancing the overall efficiency of the system, given the way the existing literature is structured and in order to grasp the impact of these reforms in the economy, we proceed in two steps. The first entails the quantification of the impact of the reforms on sectoral performance indicators, such as disposition time, trial length or back-log ratios. This branch of literature is presented in section 2 and covers reforms affecting (i) courts' size; (ii) courts' budget; (iii) specialized courts; (iv) alternative dispute resolution; (v) governance; (vi) education and training. To understand the economic effects of these reforms, it is then important to understand the link between judicial sectoral performance and economic outcomes, which is the focus of section 3. In particular, we focus on three

main channels: (i) investment; (ii) firms' size and entry rates; and (iii) credit markets.

In the second case (Section 3), the literature provides direct estimates of the effect of bankruptcy regimes on access to finance and investment. We thus survey the existing empirical literature, shedding light on the main channels through which reforms of the bankruptcy regimes operate. Bankruptcy regime reforms are likely to have impacts on the cost of funding, collateral requirements, debt amounts and maturities, thereby affecting investment, innovation and entrepreneurship. In general, empirical research in this area does not separate the restructuring from the liquidation processes, which hampers the assessment of their individual impacts. Therefore, the survey focuses on aggregate results.

## 2. Efficiency-enhancing reforms

As described in the previous section, the effects of efficiency-enhancing reforms in the economy need to be assessed in two steps, following the structure of the existing empirical literature: in the first step, one needs to understand the impact of the reforms on sectoral efficiency and only afterwards assess the impact of that increased efficiency on economic outcomes.

## 2.1. Impact of judicial reforms on judicial performance indicators

In this section, and as a first step to understand the effects of efficiency-enhancing judicial reforms on the economy, we survey the literature assessing the impact of different civil justice reforms on measures of performance of the judicial system. A table summarizing the different studies is presented in Annex 1.

#### Court size

Larger courts are expected to have a positive impact on judicial performance, due to economies of scale and specialization. Alternatively, it may potentiate shirking and therefore not be efficiency improving.

The existing empirical evidence from cross-country studies points to a non-negative effect on performance. Lorenzani and Lucidi (2014), based on data for European countries, find a negative relation between court size and both the trial length and the backlog ratio. On the contrary, Voigt and El-Bialy (2014), using the same dataset, do not find a significant effect of court size on resolution rates (although they find a positive effect of size on judicial independence). Buscaglia and Dakolias (1999), using data for 10 developed and developing countries, assess the relevance of the number of administrative staff and show that it has no impact on duration; additionally, the effect on clearance rates is negative.

The evidence from single-country studies, focusing on court-level data, do find a positive relation between court size and efficiency. Rosalés-López (2008) looks at courts in one region of Spain and finds a positive relation between the number of judicial employees and the number of resolutions. Murell (2001) uses data for Romania commercial courts to conclude that more judges per court reduce congestion. Hagstedt and Proos (2008) assess a reform of the Swedish judicial system that decreased the number of courts, increasing the average size of the existing ones, and show that the measure is efficiency improving, increasing the number of resolved cases. Marchesi (2003) also concludes that increasing the average size of Italian courts would enhance their productivity, a result also found for the United States by Dalton (2009). Pastor (2003) finds a positive impact of the number of judges in Spanish courts on duration. However, and in line with Buscaglia and Dakolias (1999), the author shows that duration is independent of the number of administrative staff.

There is also some country-level evidence that suggests no impact of court size on judicial performance. Mitsopoulos and Pelagidis (2007) use Greek data to conclude that the ratio of staff to number of cases only impacts the backlog ratio in higher instance courts, finding no effect for civil trial courts or administrative courts. Dimitrova-Grajzl et al (2012) find no effect of court size on the number of resolved cases in Slovenian courts. Similarly, Beenstock and Haitovsky (2004) show that, in Israel, the number of judges has not impact on the number of resolved cases.

It is interesting to add that while Lorenzani and Lucidi (2014), for a set of European countries, find a positive relation between the number of courts relative to the population and both disposition times and backlog ratios, Cross and Donelson (2010), using the same dataset, do not find a significant effect of the number of courts per inhabitants on efficiency. However, running the regression by quartiles, the authors conclude that the number of judges has a positive impact for countries in the bottom of the distribution, where additional resources are needed. Deynely (2011) use the same data to construct a measure of judicial efficiency based on the number of resolved cases (for both civil and criminal cases), concluding that it is positively impacted by the number of courts.

### Courts' budget

Even though one could expect that more resources would improve efficiency, it is important to distinguish across different components of the budget. Indeed, while capital budget is likely to be beneficial (as, for instance, investment in Information and Communication Technology (ICT) facilitate monitoring and information flows), the effect of increases in the operational budget is less clear-cut. Higher pay may provide an incentive for judges to perform better but poorly designed reward schemes may demotivate top performers.

The studies assessing the impact of the overall budget of courts broadly conclude that it has no effect on efficiency. Indeed, Palumbo *et al* (2013), in a crosscountry study, conclude that there is no link between budget allocated to justice and trial length. Cross and Donelson (2010) also do not find any effect on *perceived* efficiency. Yeung and Azevedo (2011) focus on the Brazilian judicial system and find that lower resources is not the main driver of lower efficiency by those courts more distant from the efficiency frontier. Mitsopolous and Pelagidis (2007) study Greek courts and conclude that the budget has no beneficial impact on courts efficiency. In a cross-country study, Voigt and El-Bialy (2014) show that the impact of courts budget may even be negative, decreasing resolution rates.

Given that a large share of the courts' budget is related to operational costs, namely wages, it is important to ascertain their impact on performance. Cross-country studies point to a positive relation between wages and judicial efficiency. Indeed, Deynely (2011) shows that the number of resolved cases increases with judges' salaries. The same results is found by Cross and Donelson (2010) when considering *perceived* efficiency. The effect is however non-linear, with diminishing marginal returns. Voigt and El Bialy (2014) do not find a relation between other benefits (beyond wages) or bonuses on resolution rates. Buscaglia and Dakolias (1999) conduct a court-level assessment based on a dedicated survey and find no relation between wages of judicial personnel (administrative staff and judges) and duration (although they highlight the relevance of wages to other quality aspects of the system such as independency, transparency or the absence of corruption). However, the authors show that an increase in capital budget resources reduces the time to disposition. Lorenzani and Lucidi (2014) corroborate this finding: the authors show that ICT budget reduces both the disposition time and the backlog ratio. Palumbo et al (2013) argue that early identification of long or problematic cases and the production of monitoring statistics - two results potentiated by ICT - reduces duration, a result also found by Buscaglia and Dakolias (1999). The exception is Deynely (2011), who finds no impact of computerization on the number of resolved cases.

On top of level effects, composition of spending also plays a role. Palumbo et al (2013) find that courts that devote a higher share of the justice budget to ICT display shorter trial length. The authors also find a positive effect of the share of ICT budget on the number of cases disposed per judge (a measure of productivity), in particular in countries with higher computer literacy.

#### **Specialized courts**

Judicial specialization is expected to positively impact disposition rates, via economies of scale and uniformization of procedures. However, as discussed in Voigt and El Bialy (2014), expert judges may want to be more precise regarding their area of expertise, taking more time per case, or their productivity may be negatively affected by the routine that derives from specialization<sup>9</sup>.

The existing cross-country evidence is indeed mixed. While Voigt and El Bialy (2014) show that countries with a higher percentage of specialized courts present lower overall resolution rates, Palumbo et al (2013) ascertain that specialization – measured by two synthetic measures derived from principal components analysis - is associated with shorter trial length.

Looking at court-level data, studies point to a nonnegative effect of specialization. Pastor (2003) shows that specialization of Spanish courts is associated with more resolutions per judge. Garoupa *et al* (2010) do not find evidence of lower resolution times in specialized family courts in Madrid, Spain. However, there is some evidence that specialized courts conclude litigation in a short timeframe than regular courts.

### Alternative dispute resolution

The rationale for introducing alternative dispute resolution (ADR) is mainly to reduce disposition times. However, the empirical evidence does not always corroborate this expectation. Djankov et al (2001), in a cross-country dataset based on data from law firms, conclude that the availability of alternative administrative procedures to solve dispute has no impact on efficiency. The same result is found by Kakalik et al (1997), who assess the 1990 US Civil Justice Reform Act and find no relation between ADR and disposition times. In a specific setting – i.e. US civil cases that reached a jury trial - Heise (2000) finds that alternative dispute resolution even increases trial length.

#### Governance

There are a number of governance reforms that are associated with higher judicial performance. The crosscountry assessment by Palumbo et al (2013), shows that attributing managerial responsibilities to the chief judge reduces trial length. Buscaglia and Dakolias (1999), using cross-country court level data from a dedicated survey, add that the time spent by judges on administrative tasks increases duration. This is possibly the reason for the negative effect of judicial councils found by Voigt and El-Bialy (2014).

 $<sup>^9</sup>$  The impact on the quality of the decisions is also not clear-cut. If more specialization can lead to better decisions, negative consequences can also arise, due, for instance, to reduced jurisprudential diversity or a compartmentalization of the law, with inconsistent partial law systems. For a discussion, please refer to Pascual and Mora-Sanguinetti (2015) and Voigt (2012).

#### **Education and training**

Education and training are expected to translate into better results. Indeed, Deynely (2011) shows, in a crosscountry sample, that the number of resolved cases is positively impacted by judges' education. However, based on a dedicated survey, Buscaglia and Dakolias (1999) fail to find a significant relation. Voigt and El Bialy (2014) conclude that countries with mandatory training for judges display higher resolution rates.

## 2.2. Impact of judicial efficiency indicators on economic outcomes

The previous section surveyed the impact of different civil justice reform variables on sectoral performance indicators. The next step is to quantify the impact of changes in judicial efficiency (e.g. improved disposition times or reduced back-log) on economic outcomes. Indeed, there is evidence that a functioning judiciary system reduces transaction costs and fosters economic growth (see, for instance, OECD, 2013). In the next subsections we explore three main channels that potentiate this result: investment; firms' size and entry rates; and, finally, credit markets<sup>10</sup>. A table presenting a summary of the different studies may be found in Annex 2.

#### Investment

A more efficient judicial system is expected to promote investment and this is indeed confirmed in empirical studies. In a cross-country analysis, Bellani (2014) finds evidence that judicial efficiency, measured by the Rule of Law indicator and the average length of trials, positively impacts FDI inflows in OECD countries. Staats and Biglaiser (2011) also concludes that, for a sample of developing countries, the improvement in judicial strength and rule of law are related with higher portfolio investment. Lorenzani and Lucidi (2014) show that higher efficiency of judicial systems in Europe, measured by disposition time and the ratio of pending cases, leads to higher foreign direct investments.

#### Credit markets

Several studies show that a sound judiciary system ehnances firms' and households' access to finance. Bae and Goyal (2009), covering a set of countries from Europe, East Asia and Latin America, show that a more efficient system, ensuring stronger property rights protection, leads to more efficient contracting. In particular, banks lend more, offer longer maturities and charge lower spreads on loans. With cross section loan information from 60 countries, Quian and Strahan (2005) examine the effect of legal origin, creditor and property rights and the quality of courts on bank loan contracts. Their results support the conclusion that more efficient judiciary positively impacts private contracts, both concerning term and interest rate conditions. By focusing on post-communist countries, Johnson et al (2002) find a positive relation between effective courts and new business relationships. Laeven and Majnoni (2003) show that judicial reforms, through a better enforcement of legal contracts, lower the costs of financial intermediation (interest rate spreads). La Porta (1997) uses a sample of 49 countries to show that law enforcement (measured by the World Bank "rule of law" indicator) positively influences the size and breadth of debt and capital markets and the ratio of private debt to GNP.

Firm level data from Spain were used by Fabbri (2009) to study the economic effects of differences in law enforcement. The author shows that in less efficient judicial districts (measured by average length of trials and by number of trials concluded after one year) firms' debt is costlier, as measured by banks interest rates. Further, the author shows that individuals working in the regions where trials are shorter save more than in regions with longer trials and that stronger enforcement of creditor rights (measured through the average length of trials) fosters individual capital accumulation and improves credit conditions (interest rates). Fabbri and Padula (2001), based on Italian data, find evidence that the quality of enforcement (measured by backlog of trials pending divided by the number of incoming cases) influence the probability of being credit-constrained, as whenever contracts are weakly enforced, the household's incentive to repay is reduced and banks respond by rationing credit. Also, in weak enforcement systems, banks tend to compensate the lower liquidation value of the collateral with higher interest rates, which reduces

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> There are other channels that may also be important. For the impact on housing markets, see, for instance, Casas-Arce and Saiz (2006) or Mora-Sanguinetti (2010).

the equilibrium amount of debt. Horioka and Sekita (2009), on the basis of household-level data from the Japanese Panel Survey of Consumers, point out that better judicial enforcement (measured by the length of trials and the ratio of the number of pending civil trials to the number of incoming civil trials) increases the household's incentive to repay their loans and banks will be less likely to constrain credit. Jappelli et al (2002) use Italian panel data to show that longer trials or larger backlogs reduce the availability of credit. Moreover, they conclude that an improvement in judicial efficiency (measured by the fraction of inside or outside collateral that lenders can expect to recover from an insolvent borrower) reduces credit rationing and increases the volume of lending. Pinheiro and Cabral (1999) referring to data from Brazil conclude that judicial enforcement (proxied by an index of judicial inefficiency regarding slowness, fairness and costs of the judiciary) has a positive impact on the ratio of credit to GDP, influencing also the development of the credit market and fostering economic development and growth. Christini et al (2001), by focusing on the credit market in Argentina, show that judicial efficiency has a positive impact on the amount of credit available and on the reduction of nonperforming loans.

#### Firms' size and entry rates

A growing number of cross-country studies demonstrate the relationship between the efficiency of the judicial system and firms' size and entry rates. Beck at al (2004) use data on the largest industrial firms for 44 developing and developed countries and find a strong relation between firm size and the efficiency of the legal system. Lorenzani and Lucidi (2014), using European data, argue that increasing the efficiency of judicial systems (reflected in a decrease in disposition time and in pending cases as a ratio to population) enhances entrepreneurial activity measured by firms' entry rates. Ippoliti et al (2015) use the same dataset and find that judicial efficiency (measured by the clearance rate and the technical efficiency) has a positive effect on entrepreneurial actions. Kumer et al (2001), referring to a sample of firms across 15 European countries, conclude that countries with greater judicial efficiency have larger-sized firms and lower dispersion in firm size within industries. La Porta (1997), using data for a sample of 49 countries, shows that the number of domestic firms grows with law enforcement (measured by the World Bank indicator "rule of law"). Nunn (2007), based on data from 159 countries, focusses on the determinants of comparative advantage and concludes that good contract enforcement leads to specialization in industries where relationship-specific investments are most important.

The findings from single country studies corroborate these results. Fabbri (2009) uses firm level data for Spain and shows that in efficient judicial districts (measured by the average length of trials and by the trials concluded after one year) the firms' size is larger. Dougherty (2013) also shows that the improved efficiency of Mexico's legal system has a positive effect on firm size. Garcia-Posada and Mora-Sanguinetti (2013, 2014), based on Spain evidence, corroborate the conclusion that judicial efficiency has a positive effect on firms' size and on firms' entry rate. Giacomelli and Menon (2013), using Italian data, find a positive relation between judicial efficiency and average firm size.

## 3. Impact on bankruptcy regimes on economic and financial variables

Bankruptcy regime reforms are likely to have impacts on the cost of funding, collateral requirements, debt amounts and maturities, thereby affecting investment, innovation and entrepreneurship. We explore these different channels in the next subsections (Annex 3 provides an overview).

#### Cost of funding

One would expect that creditors in an efficient bankruptcy system, where they expect to be reimbursed in the event of default, would certainly request a lower risk premium as compared to those in a debtor friendly system, where the capacity to take assets possession or to revitalize an insolvent firm doesn't exist or is lower. The existent empirical literature indeed finds this effect. Qian and Strahan (2005) employ a cross-country data set and find that loans made to borrowers in countries where creditors are able to take collateral in case of default are more likely to have lower interest rates. Bae and Goyal (2009) also find that strong property rights protection leads to smaller loans spreads. Additionally, they show that improved property rights protection causes a large reduction in the costs of external financing.

Araujo et al. (2012) by comparing Brazilian firms with firms from Argentina, Chile and Mexico, estimate the effect of the bankruptcy reform in Brazil on contractual and non-contractual debt variables. According to this study, there is a reduction of approximately 8% in the cost of debt after the implementation of reform. Visaria (2009), by using a loan level dataset of an Indian private sector bank, concludes that, after the establishment of new debt recovery tribunals, the costs of larger credits suffered a reduction. On the contrary, Berkowitz and White (1999), based on a sample of U.S. non-corporate and corporate firms, do not find evidence that bankruptcy exemption levels affect interest rates on loans.

Although in general the literature does not disentangle the effects of changes in restructuring and liquidations system, there are some exceptions. For instance, Rodano *et al* (2012), based on the 2005-2006 reform of the Italian bankruptcy law<sup>11</sup>, conclude that the reorganization process increases the interest rates on bank loans, suggesting that the increase in misalignments incentives outweighs efficiency gains. Additionally, they find that gains related with creditor coordination in a liquidation process reduce the costs of funding.

## Collateral

A bankruptcy system that does not secure debtors in the event of default would favour the recourse to more guarantees as a source of protection; but, at the same time, a more efficient system may intensify the use of collateral as the probability to take possession of it increases. It is thus particularly important to assess the results of the relevant empirical studies.

Qian and Strahan (2005) conclude that in countries where creditors take ownership of the collateral in the event of default the probability of having secured loans is higher. Based on data from France, Germany and the UK<sup>12</sup>, Davydenko and Franks (2008) show that banks (and in particular French banks) respond to a debtor friendly system by requiring more collateral. Rodano *et al* (2012), taking as reference the Italian case, conclude that the use of secured lending increased significantly after the 2005-2006 reforms (both reorganization and liquidation reform), which is consistent with the fact that both reforms facilitated the preservation/acquisition of the collateral that guarantees secured funding. However, Haselmann *et al* (2006) focus on 12 CEE transition economies and find that collateral law has significant effect on bank lending, but improvements in bankruptcy legislation have not.

### Amount and debt maturity

Globally, a more efficient bankruptcy system would promote the canalization of funds within the economy, as creditors would have more confidence in the system. This should translate in better contracts' conditions, such as longer maturities, and more credit available.

Indeed, focusing on cross-country analysis, Fan et al (2010) find that the existence of an explicit bankruptcy code leads to higher leverage and more long-term debt. Safavian and Sharma (2007), referring to Europe, find a positive link between more creditor rights and the access to bank credits, but this relation is much weaker in countries with inefficient courts. The analysis by Djankov et al (2005), based on data from 129 countries, also concludes that an increase in the creditor rights score raises the ratio of private credit to GDP. Furthermore, they find that the power to grab and liquidate collateral by secured creditors supports successful debt markets. For an extensive sample of countries, Qian and Strahan (2005) show that stronger creditor rights cause longer term lending. On the contrary, using also cross-country evidence, Bae and Goal (2009) do not find evidence that stronger creditor rights matter for loan size and maturity. However, the authors conclude that banks reduce loans' length and amount when facing lower contract enforcement.

Turning to studies focusing on single countries, Rodano et al (2012) conclude that the new liquidation procedures

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The Italian reform consists of two separate reforming system (one for reorganization and the other for liquidation) helping to disentangle the benefits/costs of each reform.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Where France is considered a debtor-friendly system, UK a system creditor-friendly and Germany has an intermediate level of creditor protection.

in Italy cause a lengthening of loan maturities, reduce firms' credit constraints and lead to an increase in the number of per-firm bank relationships. This is consistent with the fact that a faster and orderly liquidation process releases funds to other viable projects. Araujo *et al* (2012) shows that the bankruptcy reform in Brazil lead to increases of 10% and 23% in the amount of total debt and long-term debt, respectively. In the case of US, Chang and Schoar (2006), conclude that a pro-debtor friendly system is related with higher refilling rates and firm shutdowns, lower post-bankruptcy credit ratings and lower annual sales growth up to five years after the bankruptcy filing.

#### Covenants

As covenants provide restrictions on firm behaviour prior to default, the existence of more covenants can be expected in a context where in the case of bankruptcy creditor protection laws is low.

Qi *et al* (2010), using a cross-country dataset, demonstrate that the efficiency of bankruptcy law and public enforcement are both associated with the use of more debt covenants. They conclude that with more restrictive covenants creditors are able to force violating firms into bankruptcy, and this leads to a better outcome if the bankruptcy process is efficient and if covenants are easier to enforce. The authors also find that there is a negative relation between creditor protection and the use of covenants (and a positive relation between shareholder rights and the use of covenants), which supports that firm-level contracting protection substitutes country-level protection laws.

#### Investment, innovation and entrepreneurship

As stated above, a more efficient bankruptcy system would promote the channelling of funds within the economy in better conditions (low cost, longer maturities and higher amounts), fostering investment and stimulating the R&D in the economy.

Carcea *et al* (2015) find that the pre-insolvency system efficiency has a positive impact on self-employment rates in a sample of European countries. In particular, an increase by one percentage point in the efficiency of the recovery systems has an impact of 0.75 % in the selfemployment rate. Focusing on Europe and North America, Amour and Cumming (2008) also find that bankruptcy law affects positively the level of entrepreneurship and self-employment, more than economic determinants as real GDP growth and stock market returns.

The positive relation between creditor friendly systems and new investments is also demonstrated by Safavian and Sharma (2007), referring to European data. Johnson et al (2002), based on data from Poland, Romania, Slovakia, Ukraine and Russia, find that firms' investment is affected by the perceived security of property rights. They demonstrate that security of property rights influences the reinvestment rate positively. In the opposite direction, Acharya and Subramanian (2009), analysing 85 countries, find evidence that strengthening creditor rights lowers innovation<sup>13</sup>. Detailing for the G-7 firms, the authors conclude that technologically innovative industries emplov relatively less leverage and grow disproportionately slower when compared to other industries.

On single country studies, Ponticelli (2012) analysis shows that a pro-creditor bankruptcy reform in Brazil, together with a stronger court enforcement (measured by backlog per judge), increase capital investment and productivity.

## 4. Conclusions

Understanding the implications of judicial structural reforms is crucial to ensure informed decisions by policy makers, before and after implementation, allowing for the optimization of the design of individual policies and of their packaging with other reforms. It is also of utmost importance for political economy considerations and to ensure ownership of the process. This survey intended to shed light into the different mechanisms at play for the different types of judicial reforms.

<sup>13</sup> In countries that underwent an increase (a decrease) in creditor rights, the more innovative industry generated 10.3% less (11.5% more) patents, 56.4% less (29.3% more) citations to these patents, and 9.5% less (10.5% more) patenting firms than its adjacent less-innovative industry.

Concerning sectoral efficiency, empirical evidence points to an, at least, non-negative effect of courts' size on judicial efficiency, with many studies showing a positive impact on performance. In what regards judicial resources, while there seems to be no relation between overall courts' budget and performance, the effect of wages and ICT spending is found to be positive. Also, education and training is associated with higher efficiency as is reforms aiming at enhancing the governance of the judicial system. The results for specialization of courts are less clear-cut, hinting at the need for a case-by-case assessment, as reforms in this area are less uniform. Finally, the existing evidence on alternative dispute resolution suggests no effect on performance.

But will improved sectoral performance translate in economic gains? Existing empirical evidence show that more efficient courts will lead to higher investment, higher availability of credit with longer maturities and lower interest rates, larger firms and higher firms' entry rates.

Concerning bankruptcy regimes, the literature shows that a more efficient system is related with a lower cost of funding and a higher amount and length of credit in the economy and, consequently, to more investment, innovation and entrepreneurship. In what regards the relation between the efficiency of the bankruptcy system and the use collateral, the literature is not conclusive.

In general, the different mechanisms at play would suggest a positive impact of the liquidation process reform, but the same does not apply to the reform of the debt' restructuring regime, as in this case there is a negative effect related with the decrease of the debtor repayment incentives (misaligned incentives). Therefore, a better understanding of the economic impact of bankruptcy regimes would need to be based on additional research differentiating between restructuration and liquidation impact mechanisms.

In the context of structural reforms, these results highlight the relevance of reforming the judicial system, in particular for those countries more distant from the efficient frontier. The long-term gains and the channels through which these reforms operate (e.g. creation of new firms or more investment) will be key to ensure sustained economic growth. Nevertheless, as the effects of reforms depend on overall economic conditions (including the position over the cycle) and on the interactions between different policies, timing, bundling and sequencing of reforms should also be taken into consideration. This should be the focus of future research.

## References

- Acharya, V. and Subramanian, K. (2009), Bankruptcy codes and Innovation, Review of Financial Studies, Volume 22, No. 12, pp. 4949-4988;
- Araujo, A., Ferreira, R. and Funchal, B. (2012), The Brazilian bankruptcy law experience, Journal of Corporate Finance 18/2012, pp. 994-1004;
- Armour, J. and Cumming, D. (2008), Bankruptcy Law and Entrepreneurship, Law Working Paper No. 105/2008;
- Ayotte, K. and Yun, H. (2007), Matching Bankruptcy Laws to Legal Environments, The Journal of Law, Economics & Organization, Volume 25, No. 1;
- Bae, K. and Goyal, V. (2009), Creditor Rights, Enforcement, and Bank Loans, The Journal of Finance, Volume 64, Issue 2, pp. 823-860.
- Bae, K. and Goyal, V. (2009), Creditor Rights, Enforcement, and Bank Loans, The Journal of Finance, Volume 64, Issue 2, pp. 823-860.
- Beck, T., Demirgüç-Kunt, A. and Maksimovic, V. (2004), Financial and legal institutions and firm size, University of Maryland;
- Beenstock, M. and Haitovsky, Y. (2004), Does the appointment of judges increase the output of the judiciary?, International Review of Law and Economics, 24, pp. 351-369;
- Bellani, M. (2014), Judicial efficiency and foreign direct investments: evidence from OECD countries, The EU Justice Scoreboad, March 2013.
- Berkowitz, J. and White, M. (1999), Bankruptcy and Small Firms' Access to Credit, The RAND Journal of Economics, Volume 35, No. 1, pp. 69-84;
- Botero, J., La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A. and Volokh, A. (2003), Judicial Reform, The World Bank Research Observer, Spring 2003, Volume 18, No. 1, pp. 61-88.

- Buscaglia, E. and Dakolias, M. (1999), Comparative international study of court performance indicators: a descriptive and analytical account, Legal and Judicial Reforms Series, World Bank;
- Cacciatore, Duval and Fiori (2012), Short-term gain or pain? A DSGE model-based analysis of the shortterm effects of structural reforms in labour and product markets, Economics Department Working Paper no. 948, OECD;
- Carcea, M., Ciriaci, D., Cuerpo, C., Lorenzani, D. and Pontuch, P. (2015), The Economic Impacto f Rescue and Recovery Frameworks in the EU, Discussion Paper 004;
- Casas-Arce, P. and Saiz, A. (2006), Owing versus Leasing: Do Courts Matter?, Working Paper No. 06-21, Research Department, Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia;
- Chang, T. and Schoar, A. (2006), The Effect of Judicial Bias in Chapter 11 Reorganization;
- Christini, M., Moya, R. and Powell, A. (2001), The Importance of an Effective legal System for Credit Markets: The Case of Argentina, Latin American Research Network Red de Centros de Investigacion, Research Network Working Paper No. R-428;
- Claessens, S. and Klapper, L. (2002), Bankruptcy Around the World: Explanations of its Relative Use, American Law and Economics Review, Volume 7, No. 1, pp. 253-283;
- Cross, F. and Donelson, C. (2010), Creating Quality Courts, Journal of Empirical Legal Studies Volume 7, Issue 3, pp. 490–510;
- Dalton, T. (2009), A Matter of Size: An Analysis of Court Efficiency Using Hierarchical Linear Modeling, University of Denver, Fairleigh Dickinson University;
- Davydenko, S. and Franks, J. (2008), Do Bankruptcy Codes matter? A Study of Defaults in France, Germany and the U.K., The Journal of Finance, Vol. LXIII, No. 2;
- Deynely, F. (2012), Analysis of relationship between efficiency of justice services and salaries of judges with two-stage DEA method, European Journal of Law and Economics, 34, pp. 477-493;

- Dimitrova-Grajzl, V., Grajzl, P., Sustersic, J. and Zajc, K. (2012), Court output, judicial staffing and the demand for court services: evidence from Slovenian courts of first instance, International Review of Law and Economics, 32(1), pp. 19-29;
- Djankov, S., La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F. and Schleifer, A. (2001), Courts: the lex mundi project, Quarterly Journal of Economics, 118, pp. 453-517;
- Djankov, S., McLiesh, C. and Shleifer, A. (2005), Private Credit in 129 Countries, NBER Working Paper Series, Working Paper 11078;
- Dougherty, S. (2013), Legal Reform, Contract Enforcement and Firm Size in Mexico, Economics Department Working Papers No. 1042, (2013)34;
- Fabbri, D. (2009), Law Enforcement and Firm Financing: Theory and Evidence, Amsterdam Business School, Journal of European Economic Association, Volume 8, Issue 4, June 2010, pp. 776-816;
- Fabbri, D. and Padula, M. (2002), Does Poor Legal Enforcement make Households Credit-Constrained?, Centre for Studies in Economics and Finance, Working Paper No. 65;
- Fan, J., Titman, S. and Twite, G. (2010) An International Comparison of Capital Structure and Debt Maturity Choices, NBER Working Paper Series, Working Paper 16445;
- Fonte-Santa, S., Gouveia, A. and Herber, C. (2016), Economic impact of bankruptcy regimes reforms – a survey, forthcoming;
- François, P. and Morellec, E. (2004), Capital Structure and Asset Prices: Some Effects of Bankruptcy Procedures, Journal of Business, Volume 77, No. 2, pt. 1;
- Funchal, B., Galdi, F. and Lopes, A. (2007), Interactions between corporate governance, bankruptcy law and firms' debt financing: The Brazilian Case, ANPEC's Area 7, Microeconomics, Quantitative Methods and Finance;
- Garcia-Posada, M. and Mora-Sanguinetti, J. (2013), Firm Size and Judicial Efficacy: Evidence for the new Civil Procedures in Spain, Banco de Espana, Documentos de Trabajo, No. 1303;

- Garcia-Posada, M. and Mora-Sanguinetti, J. (2014), Entrepreneurship and Enforcement Institutions: Disaggregated Evidence for Spain, Banco de Espana, Documentos de Trabajo, No. 1405;
- Garoupa, N., Jorgensen, N. and Vazquez, P. (2010), Assessing the argument for specialized courts: evidence from family courts in Spain, International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family, 24(1), pp. 54-66;
- Gennaioli, N. and Rossi, S. (2010), Judicial Discretion in Corporate Bankruptcy, Review of Financial Studies, Volume 23, No. 11, pp. 4078-4114;
- Giacomelli, S. and Menon, C. (2013), Firm Size and Judicial Efficiency in Italy: Evidence from the Neighbour's Court, Working Paper Banca D'Italia, Number 898;
- Hagstedt, K. and Proos, J. (2008), Has the recent restructuring of the Swedish district courts improved efficiency?, University of Uppsala;
- Haselmann, R., Pistor, K. and Vig, V. (2006), How Law affects lending, MPRA Paper No. 157;
- Heise, M. (2000), Justice Delayed?: An Empirical Analysis of Civil Case Disposition Time", Cornell Law Faculty Publications, Paper 692;
- Horioka, C. and Sekita, S. (2009), The degree of judicial enforcement and credit markets: Evidence from Japanese household panel data, ISER Discussion Paper, Institute of Social and Economic Research, Osaka University, No. 764;
- Ippoliti, R., Melcarne, A. and Ramello, G. (2015), Judicial Efficiency and Entrepreneurs Expectations on the Reliability of European Legal Systems, Research Network Debate, Swedish Entrepreneurship Forum, Working Paper 2015:35;
- Jappelli, T., Papano, M. and Bianco, M. (2002), Courts and Banks: Effects of Judicial Enforcement on Credit Markets, CEPR Discussion Paper, No. 3347;
- Johnson, S., McMillan, J. and Woodruff, C. (2001), Courts and Relational Contracts, NBER Working Paper Series, Working Paper 8572;
- Johnson, S., McMillan, J. and Woodruff, C. (2002), Property rights and finance, NBER Working Paper Series, Working Paper 8852;

- Kakalik, J., Dunworth, T., Hill, L., McCaffrey, D., Oshiro, M., Pace, N. and Vaiana, M. (1997), Just, speedy and inexpensive? An evaluation of judicial case management under the Civil Justice Reform Act, Rand – The Institute for Civil Justice;
- Kumar, K., Rajan, R. and Zingales, L. (2001), What determines firm size?, SSRN Electronic Journal, May 2001;
- La Porta, R., Lopez-De-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A. and Vishny, R. (1997), Legal Determinants of External Finance, The Journal of Finance, Volume LII, No. 3;
- Laeven, L. and Majnoni, G. (2003), Does Judicial Efficiency Lower the Cost of Credit?, World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 3159, October 2003;
- Li, W., White, M., and Zhu, N. (2010), Did Bankruptcy reform cause mortgage default rates to rise?, Working Paper Research Department Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, Working Paper No. 10-16;
- Lorenzani, D. and Lucidi, F. (2014), The economic impact of civil justice reforms, European Economy Economic Papers 530, Economic and Financial Affairs, European Commission;
- Marchesi, D. (2003), Litiganti, avvocati e magistrate: diritto ed economia del processo civile, Il Mulino;
- Mitsopolous, M. and Pelagidis, T. (2007), Does staffing affect the time to dispose cases in Greek courts?, International Review of Law and Economics, 27, pp. 219-244;
- Mora-Sanguinetti, J. (2010), Is judicial inefficiency increasing the house property market weight in Spain? Evidence at the local level, Banco de Espana, Documentos de Trabajo, No. 1025;
- Murrell, P. (2001), Demand and supply in Romanian commercial courts: generating information for institutional reform, IRIS center, University of Maryland;
- Nunn, N. (2007), Relationship-specificity, incomplete contracts, and the pattern of trade, The Quarterly Journal of Economics, May 2007;
- OECD (2013), What makes civil justice effective?, OECD Economics Department Policy Notes, No. 18 June2013;

- Palumbo, G., Giupponi, G., Nunziata, L. and Mora Sanguinetti, M. (2013), The Economics of Civil Justice: New Cross-country Data and Empirics, OECD Economics Department Working Papers, No. 1060, OECD Publishing;
- Pascual, G. and Mora-Sanguinetti, J. (2015), El mito de la especialización - The myth of specialized courts, InDret, Vol. 1;
- Pastor, S. (2003), Eficiencia y Eficacia de la Justicia, Papeles de Economía Española No 95, Madrid;
- Pastor, S. (2003), Dilación, Eficiencia y Costes, Documentos de trabajo, Bilbao: Fundación BBVA;
- Pinheiro, A. and Cabral, C. (1999), Credit Markets in Brazil: The Role of Judicial Enforcement and Other Institutions I, IDB Working Paper, No. 104;
- Ponticelli, J. (2012), Court Enforcement and Firm Productivity: Evidence from a Bankruptcy Reform in Brazil, Chicago Booth Research Paper No. 14-08, Fama-MillerWorking Paper;
- Qi, Y., Roth, L. and Wald, J. (2010), How Legal Environments affect the Use of Bond Covenants, Journal of International Business Studies, Vol. 42, pp. 235-262;
- Qian, J. and Strahan, P. (2005), How law and institutions shape financial contracts: The case of bank loans, NBER Working Paper Series, Working Paper 11052;
- Quian, J. and Strahan, P. (2005), How law and institutions shape financial contracts: the case of bank loans, NBER Working Paper Series, Working Paper 11052;
- Rodano, G., Serrano-Velarde, N. and Tarantino, E. (2012), Bankruptcy law and the cost of banking finance, Oxford University Centre for Business Taxation, Workingpaper 12/18;
- Rosales-López, V. (2008), Economics of court performance: an empirical analysis, European Journal of Law and Economics, 25, pp. 231-251;
- Safavian, M. and Sharma, S. (2007), When do creditor rights work?, Policy Research Working Paper 4296;
- Smith, C. and Warner, J. (1979), On Financial Contracting: An Analysis of Bond Covenants, Journal of Financial Economics, 7/1979, pp. 117-161;

- Staats, J. and Biglaiser, G. (2011), The Effects of Judicial Strength and Rule of Law on Portfolio Investment in the Developing World, Social Science Quarterly, Volume 92, Number 3, September 2011;
- Visaria, S. (2009), Legal Reform and Loan Repayment: The Microeconomic Impact of Debt Recovery Tribunals in India, American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, Vol. 1, No. 3, pp. 59-81;
- Voigt, S. (2012), On the optimal number of courts, International Review of Law and Economics, 32(1), pp. 49-62;
- Voigt, S. and El-Bialy, N. (2014), Identifying the Determinants of Judicial Performance: Taxpayers' Money Well Spent?, European Journal of Law Economics, pp. 1-37;
- White, M. (2005), Economics analysis of corporate and personal Bankruptcy law, NBER Working Paper Series, Working Paper 11536.
- Yeung, L. and Azevedo, P. (2011), Measuring efficiency of Brazilian courts with data envelopment analysis, IMA Journal of Management Mathematics, 22(4), pp. 343-356.

Authors	Data source	Countries covered	Dependent variable(s)	Method	Independent variables	Relevant results
			Court size			
Lorenzani and Ludici (2014)	dataset based on the reports by the Council of Europe's European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ).	cross- country: Europe	(log) backlog ratio, (log) disposition time, firm entry rate, churn rates, national net inflows of FDI	OLS and RE, FE	court size, courts to population ratio, judges to population ratio, litigation ratio, share of public budget for courts ICT, disposition time	court size: (-) trial length and backlog ratio
Cross and Donelson (2010)	dataset based on the reports by the Council of Europe's European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ).	cross- country: Europe	judicial independence, legal efficiency, rule of law, impartial courts	OLS	Judicial salary, Judicial budget, Number of courts, Number of judges, Common law, French civil law, Lawyers, Real GDP	number of courts: <b>not</b> <b>significant</b>
Deynely (2011)	dataset based on the reports by the Council of Europe's European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ).	cross- country: Europe	court efficiency	two-stage Data Envelopme nt Analysis: data envelopmen t analysis and Tobit regression model	judge salaries, judges' education, Computerization, number of courts	number of courts: (+) judicial efficiency
Voigt and El-Bialy (2014)	dataset based on the reports by the Council of Europe's European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ).	cross- country: Europe	efficiency, Independence , clearance and resolution	OLS, least squares regression	Income per capita, Legal Origin, Court budget, Judicial council, Duties, Size, Special, Enforcement, Recruitment, Training, Bonus, Sanction, Benefit,	court size: not significant

Annex 1	- Studies	on the eff	ect of judicia	l reforms o	n sectoral	efficiency -	by area of reform
-			· · · · <b>,</b> · · · · ·				

Buscaglia and Dakolias (1999)	information from the federal first- instance courts, survey of each court, including a study of the time allocated to different tasks	cross- country: 10 developing and developed countries	procedural times and clearance rates	jurimetric analysis	capital budgets, technology, adjudicative time, administrative time, managerial activism by judges; cost per case, cost elasticity, salaries, general budget resources, number of staff	court size: (-) clearance rate, no impact on duration
Murell (2001)	cross-regional Romanian data	single country: Romania	number of cases, Index of Congestion	three stage least squares (3SLS) and OLS	Congestion, Appeal success rate, Number of enterprises, Level of economic activity, % large and medium enterprises, Urbanization, Industry, Caseload, Number of judges, Competing cases in the Tribunal, Criminal court congestion, % votes for Iliescu, Transylvania	more judges: (-) congestion
Hagstedt and Proos (2008)	three different sources; the Swedish Central Bureau of Statistics (SCB), National Courts Administration and the Swedish National Council for Crime Prevention	single country: Sweden	cost statistics as input	DEA	cases settled as output	decreased number of courts, increased average size: (+) efficiency

				1	r			
Mitsopoul os and Pelagidis (2007)	data from Hellenic Ministry of Justice, the Hellenic Ministry of Interior and the Greek National Statistical Service	single country: Greece	ratio of remaining plus postponed to total cases introduced	SURE GLS regression and OLS	ratio of employees to total cases introduced	ratio of staff to number of cases: <b>effect</b> <b>on backlog</b> <b>ratio</b> in higher instance courts		
Dimitrova -Grajzl et al (2012)	empirical literature on court activity, two panel datasets, one for each type of courts of first instance in Slovenia	single country: Slovenia	Court output: ln(Resolved cases)	Pooled OLS, Fixed Effects Estimation , 2SLS	judicial staffing (number of serving judges), demand for court services (a court's caseload)	court size: no effect on resolved cases		
Beenstock and Haitovsky (2004)	panel data on Israeli courts: annual observations on the three court systems in Israel	single country: Israel	Rates of compromise and appeal (%)	WLS, SURE, OLS	Magistrate Courts (Compromise rates, Appeal rates) and District Courts (Compromise rates, Appeal rates)	court size: no effect on resolved cases		
Rosales- Lopez (2008)	Annual Report of Spain's General Council of the Judiciary, office for Justice and Public Administration of the "Junta de Andalusia"	single country: Spain	Judicial output (court's resolution)	Multiple regression analysis, analysis of variance	Judicial staff, Workload, Common procedural services, Judicial reinforcement, Judge turnover	court's size: (+) court output		
Dalton (2009)	data set compiled by the Institute for the Advancement of the American Legal System at the University of Denver	single country: US	length of the case	ANOVA model, HLM, linear regression, Hierarchic al Linear Modelling	number of attorneys, number of observations per court, court sizes	larger courts: (+) more efficient		
Courts budget								
Palumbo et al (2013)	the OECD dataset, the dataset collected by the CEPEJ, and the Doing Business (DB) dataset collected by the	cross- country	Log Productivity of Judges, Log Trial length, Log litigation per	OLS, IV regressions, Fixed effects regression	budget to informatization, computer users*budget to informatization, computer users in	higher share of justice budget to ICT: (+) trial length, (+) number of cases		

Cross and Donelson (2010)	World Bank dataset based on the reports by the Council of Europe's European Commission for the	cross- country: Europe	capita, Cost of trial Judicial independence, Legal efficiency, Rule of law,	OLS	the population, GDP per capita, Litigation Per Capita, Number of Procedures, GDP PPP, Per Capita, Freely negotiated fees Judicial salary, Judicial budget, Number of courts, Number of judges, Common	disposed per judge
Deynely (2011)	Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ). dataset based on the reports by the Council of Europe's European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ).	cross- country: Europe	Impartial courts court efficiency	two-stage Data Envelopme nt Analysis: data envelopmen t analysis and Tobit regression model	law, French civil law, Lawyers, Real GDP judge salaries, judges' education, Computerization, number of courts	increase in investment in court equipment, infrastructure and judge's salaries: (+) increased clearance rate no impact of computerizatio
Voigt and El-Bialy (2014)	dataset based on the reports by the Council of Europe's European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ).	cross- country: Europe	Efficieny, Independence , Clearance and Resolution	OLS	Income per capita, Legal Origin, Court BUDGET, Judicial council, Duties, Size, Special, Enforcement, Recruitment, Training, Bonus, Sanction, Benefit, Legal aid	budget: (-) resolution rate,

Buscaglia and Dakolias (1999)	information from the federal first- instance courts, survey of each court, including a study of the time allocated to different tasks	cross- country: 10 developing and developed countries on three continents	procedural times and clearance rates	jurimetric analysis	capital budgets, technology, adjudicative time, administrative time, managerial activism by judges; cost per case, cost elasticity	capital budget: (-) time to disposition, budget resources to infrastructure and IT: (+) clearance rates (-)
					salaries, general budget ressources, number of staff	expected duration of cases
Lorenzani and Ludici (2014)	dataset based on the reports by the Council of Europe's European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ).	cross- country: Europe	(log) backlog ratio, (log) disposition time, firm entry rate, churn rates, national net inflows of FDI	OLS and RE, FE	court size, courts to population ratio, judges to population ratio, litigation ratio, share of public budget for courts ICT, disposition time	ICT budget: (-) disposition time and (-) backlog ratio
Mitsopoul os and Pelagidis (2007)	data from HellenicMinistryofJustice, the HellenicMinistry of Interior(material resources)andtheGreekNational StatisticalService(annualtablesofthe"StatisticsJustice" publication)	single country: Greece	ratio of remaining plus postponed to total cases introduced	SURE GLS regression and OLS	ratio of employees to total cases introduced	budget: <b>no</b> <b>impact</b> on efficiency
Yeung and Azevedo (2011)	annual reports "Justic,a em N'umeros", issued by the National Council of Justice (Conselho Nacional de Justic)	single country: Brazil	output variables: number of adjudications in first- and second-degree courts	data envelopmen t analysis (DEA)	input variables: number of judges, number of auxiliary staff	lack of resources: (-) efficiency
			Specialized cou	rts		
Voigt and El-Bialy (2014)	dataset based on the reports by the Council of Europe's European	cross- country: Europe	Efficieny, Independence , Clearance and	OLS	Income per capita, Legal Origin, Court BUDGET,	special courts: (-) resolution rate special courts:

	Commission for the		Perclution		Indiaial connail	(+) healtlag
	Efficiency of Justice		Resolution		Dutios Sizo	(+) backlog
	(CFDF I)				Special	1410
	(CEI E9).				Enforcement	
					Enforcement,	
					Recruitment,	
					Training, Bonus,	
					Sanction, Benefit,	
					Legal aid	
Palumbo	the OECD dataset,	cross-	Log	OLS, IV	budget to	specialization:
et al	the dataset collected	country	Productivity	regressions,	informatization,	(-) trial
(2013)	by the CEPEJ, and	-	of Judges. Log	Fixed	computer	lengths
	the Doing Business		Trial length	effects	users*budget to	- <b>8</b>
	(DB) dataset		Log litigation	regression	informatization	
	collocted by the		nor	regression	computer usors in	
	World Bark		per cost of		the nonulation	
	world Dalik		capita, Cost of		CDD you conits	
			trial		GDP per capita,	
					Litigation Per	
					Capita, Number	
					of Procedures,	
					GDP PPP, Per	
					Capita, Freely	
					negotiated fees	
Garoupa	survey of Spanish	single	duration in	ordered	Madrid 25.	specialized
et al	family courts in the	country:	first instance	probit	Getafe (regular	courts: <b>no</b>
(2010)	region of Madrid	Snain	of non-mutual	following	court)	significant
(_010)	rogion of maaria	opulli	consent	Wooldridge	Maiadahonda	effects on
			divorces and	linear	(regular court)	duration
			other litigious	regression	family court	uurumon
			fomily	model for	family court	
			nnogodunog	the guarage		
			procedures	duration		
				auration		
		Altern	ative dispute re	solution		
Djankov	derived from	cross-	indices of	OLS,	Log GNP per	ADR: no
et al	answers to	country	formalism	Instrument	capita, Socialist/	significant
(2002)	questionnaires		and its	al	French/	effect on
	prepared by		component	variables	German/Scandina	efficiency
	attorneys at Lex		indices,	regressions	vian legal origin,	
	Mundi and Lex				Log of duration,	
	Africa member				Judicial	
	firms, data from				efficiency, Access	
	surveys of business				to justice.	
	people on the				Enforceability of	
	quality of the legal				contracts.	
	system				Corruption	
	_ <u></u>				Human Rights	
					Legal evetor in	
					Legai system 18	

Image: Second state (2000)collection of state softee by the Civil Trial Court Network (CTCN)disposition timemultivariat softee by the Civil Trial Court Network (CTCN)disposition timemultivariat softee by the Civil softee by the Civil Trial Court Network (CTCN)ADR: (H disposition, country: timemultivariat softee by the Civil softee by the Civil softee by the Civil Trial Court Network (CTCN)disposition, softee by the Civil timemultivariat softee by the Civil softee by the Civil softee by the Civil timeMultivariat softee by the Civil softee by the Civil timeMultivariat softee by the Civil softee by the Civil softee by the Civil timeMultivariat softee by the Civil softee by the Civil softee by the Civil timeMultivariat softee by the Civil softee by the Civil softee by the Civil softee by the Civil timeMultivariat softee by the Civil softee by the Civil by the CEPEJ, and the Doing Business (DI3)More by the Civil the Civil softee by the Civil processing and softee by the Civil by the Civil by the Civil country: of Judges, Log of Judges, Log of Judges, Log friand softee by the Civil country	-					r			
Heise (2000)       collection of state civil justice data from court clerks office by the Civil Trial Court       single country: US       disposition time       multivariat eregression analysis       case types, party types, chase characteristics and local legal culture       ADR: (+ disposition time         Kakalik et al (1997)       court records, surveys of CJRA dvisory groups, districts cost and delay reduction plans, detailed case processing and docket information on a sample of cases, surveys of attorneys and litigants, interviews in person with judges, court staff and litigants, interviews in person with judges, court staff and lawyers       Coss- country       Cog Productivity of Judges, Log Trial length, Log litigation       OLS, IV regression, Fixed       budget to informatization, computer       attribut manage						fair and impartial, Legal system is honest or uncorrupt, Legal system is quick, Legal system is affordable, Legal system is consistent, Court decisions are enforced, Confidence in legal system			
Kakalik et al (1997)court records, reports and surveys of CJRA advisory groups, districts cost and delay reduction plans, detailed case processing and docket information in person with judges, court staff and lawyerstime to country:time to descriptive to multivariat estatistical techniquese.g. early judicial management of any type, effect of including trial estatistical techniquesADR: mc signific effect of any type, effect of including techniquesADR: mc signific estatistical techniquesMathematical al (1997)CJRA advisory groups, districts cost and delay reduction plans, detailed case processing and docket information in person with judges, court staff and lawyersUStime to	Heise (2000)	collection of state civil justice data from court clerks office by the Civil Trial Court Network (CTCN)	single country: US	disposition time	multivariat e regression analysis	case types, party types, chase characteristics and local legal culture	ADR: (+) disposition time		
Governance         Palumbo       the OECD dataset, cross-       Log       OLS, IV       budget       to       attribut         et       al       the dataset collected       country       Productivity       regressions,       informatization,       manager         (2013)       by the CEPEJ, and       of Judges, Log       Fixed       computer       responsi         (DB)       dataset       Log litigation       regression       informatization,       judges;         output       private       Log litigation       regression       informatization,       judges;	Kakalik et al (1997)	court records, records, reports and surveys of CJRA advisory groups, districts cost and delay reduction plans, detailed case processing and docket information on a sample of cases, surveys of judicial officers, mail surveys of attorneys and litigants, interviews in person with judges, court staff and lawyers	single country: US	time to disposition, costs, participants satisfaction, views of fairness	descriptive tabulations , multivariat e statistical techniques	e.g. early judicial management of any type, effect of including trial schedule set early as part of early management, mandatory early disclosure, good- faith efforts before filling discovery motion, increase use of magistrate judges to conduct civil pre-trial case processing,	ADR: no significant effect on disposition time		
Palumbothe OECD dataset, etcross- countryLogOLS,IVbudgettoattribut(2013)by the CEPEJ, and the Doing BusinesscountryProductivity of Judges, Log <i>Fixed</i> computerresponsi responsi(DB)datasetLogling litigation regression <i>regression</i> informatization, responsijudges, log(DB)datasetLoglitigation regression <i>regression</i> informatization, informatization,judges, log	Governance								
Would Bank	Palumbo et al (2013)	the OECD dataset, the dataset collected by the CEPEJ, and the Doing Business (DB) dataset collected by the Would Park	cross- country	Log Productivity of Judges, Log Trial length, Log litigation per	OLS, IV regressions, Fixed effects regression	budget to informatization, computer users*budget to informatization, computer users in the percentation	attributing managerial responsibilities to the chief judges: (-) trial length		

			trial		GDP per capita, Litigation Per Capita, Number of Procedures, GDP PPP, Per Capita, Freely negotiated fees	
Buscaglia and Dakolias (1999)	information from the federal first- instance courts, survey of each court, including a study of the time allocated to different tasks	cross- country: 10 developing and developed countries on three continents	procedural times and clearance rates	jurimetric analysis	capital budgets, technology, adjudicative time, administrative time, managerial activism by judges; cost per case, cost elasticity, salaries, general budget ressources, number of staff	time on administrative tasks: (+) duration
Voigt and El-Bialy (2014)	dataset based on the reports by the Council of Europe's European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ).	cross- country: Europe	Efficieny, Independence , Clearance and Resolution	OLS	Income per capita, Legal Origin, Court BUDGET, Judicial council, Duties, Size, Special, Enforcement, Recruitment, Training, Bonus, Sanction, Benefit, Legal aid	judicial councils: (-) efficiency
		Edi	ucation and tra	ining		
Deynely (2011)	dataset based on the reports by the Council of Europe's European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ).	cross- country: Europe	court efficiency	two-stage Data Envelopme nt Analysis: data envelopmen t analysis and Tobit regression model	judge salaries, judges' education, Computerization, number of courts	judges education: (+) efficiency

Buscaglia	information from	cross-	procedural	jurimetric	capital budgets,	judges
and	the federal first-	country: 10	times and	analysis	technology,	education: <b>no</b>
Dakolias	instance courts,	developing	clearance		adjudicative time,	significant
(1999)	survey of each	and	rates		administrative	effect on
	court, including a	developed			time, managerial	efficiency
	study of the time	countries			activism by	
	allocated to	on three			judges; cost per	
	different tasks	continents			case, cost	
					elasticity,	
					salaries, general	
					budget	
					ressources,	
					number of staff	
Vaint and	dataant baard an		E.C	OIS	T	Mandatana
FLD: - l	the new set based on	cross-	Enicieny,	OLS	income per	turinin m (1)
EI-Dialy	the reports by the	country:	Independence		capita, Legal	training: (+)
(2014)	Council of Europe's	Europe	, Clearance		Origin, Court	resolution
	European		and		BUDGET,	rates
	Commission for the		Resolution		Judicial council,	
	Efficiency of Justice				Duties, Size,	
	(CEPEJ).				Special,	
					Enforcement,	
					Recruitment,	
					Training, Bonus,	
					Sanction, Benefit,	
					Legal aid	

Author s	Data source	Countries covered	Dependent variable(s)	Method	Independent variables	Relevant results
			Investment			
Bellani (2014)	FDI Markets data set	cross- country: OECD Countries	FDI	OLS regression	judicial effiency, skill, capital, comparative advantage and market size, for the extensive and intensive margins	judicial efficency: (+) FDI
Staats and Biglaise r (2011)	time-series data for 79 developing countries, period 1996-2005	cross country: Developing Countries	net Portfolio Bond, Equity and combindes Bond and Equity inflows (as % of GDP)	panel- corrected standard errors multivaria te regressions	judicial strength, rule of law, Judicial Independence, Impartial Courts, Protection of Property	judicial strenght and rule of law: (+) portfolio investment
Lorenza ni and Lucidi (2014)	dataset based on the reports by the Council of Europe's European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ), over the period 2006-2010	cross- country: Europe	(log) backlog ratio, (log) disposition time, firm entry rate, churn rates, national net inflows of FDI	OLS and RE, FE	court size, courts to population ratio, judges to population ratio, litigation ratio, share of public budget for courts ICT, disposition time	justice efficiency (disposition time and backlog ratio): <b>(+) FDI</b>
			Credit markets			
Bae and Goyal (2009)	Dealscan database compiled by the Loan Pricing Corporation (LPC)	cross- country (48 countries)	property rights and creditor rights, All loans, Non-U.S. borrowers Matched to Worldscope, Non- U.S. borrowers Matched to Worldscope US\$ loans on LIBOR	OLS regression	Loan characteristics (e.g. Median loan spread, Senior loans indicator), Syndicate structure (e.g. Share of biggest lender, Median foreign banks as % of	property rights protection: (+)banks lending, (+) maturities, (-) spreads

## Annex 2 – Studies on the effects of judicial sectoral efficiency on economic performance

					tota), Loan purpose indicators (e.g. Refinancing, Backup line) and Firm characteristics (e.g. Median profitability, Median tangibility)	
Quian and Strahan (2005)	Loan information comes from the Loan Pricing Corporation's Dealscan database	cross- country (60 countries)	log of the number of lenders, percent of the loan held by government banks, percent held by domestic banks, log of the loan maturity and Log of Drawn All- in Spreads		legal variables (e.g. Legal Origin, Credit Rights Index), Institutional variables (e.g. Property Rights Index, Corruption) and Country- Level variables (e.g. economic and financial development)	protection of creditor rights: (+) term lending (-) interest rates contracting costs (legal formalism): (+) terms of bank loans
Johnson et al (2002)	surveys undertaken in Russia and Ukraine in May and June 1997, and in Poland, Romania and Slovakia in September- December 1997	cross- country (post- communist countries)	belief in courts, percentage of the bill paid with delay, percentage of the bill paid after delivery, trade credit, Switching Costs	OLS regression	Customer Search Costs, Duration of relationship, Loyalty to existing suppliers, Complexity of Input, Information, Courts	Functioning courts: (+) enforcing contracts (+) new interactions
Laeven and Majnoni (2003)	country-level data on average lending rates and deposit rates from the IMF's International Financial Statistics (IFS) database and average interest rate spreads computed at a banklevel	cross- country	Spread1 and Spread2 (difference between the average lending rate and the average cost of funds)	OLS regression	Infl, Liqreq, Conc, State, Entry, Restrict, Fbank, Law, Prop, Pcr, Doll	Judicial efficiency: (-) interest rate spreads

	using data from Bankscope					
Fabbri (2009)	data on civil trials concluded by judicial district from an annual survey by the National Institute of Statistics (INE), Firm level data are drawn from the Survey on Firm Strategies	single country: Spain	average interest rate on the stock of bank debt, size of the firm, leverage ratio	fixed- effects regressions , DSGE model	Legal Costs, Age, Age Squared, Listed Firm, Asset Intangibility, Size, Herfindahl Index, GDP	enforcement of creditors' rights: (+)credit conditions, (+)individual capital accumulation law enforcement: (+) individual savings
Fabbri and Padula (2001)	Household data come from the Survey of Household Income and Wealth (SHIW)	single country: Itlay	Credit market participation, Credit rationing, amount of debt	probit model with sample selection.	Ageofthehouseholdhead,Agesquared ofthehouseholdhead,Laborhouseholdincome,Collateral,Yearsofschooling,Familysize,Retiree,Unemployed,Marital status,City size, Per-capitagrossdomesticproduct, Justiceproduct, Justice(Backlogoftrialspending,NumberNumberofincoming trials,Population,Numberofjudges,Sizestaff)	enforcement: (-) probability of being credit- constrained, (-) interest rates

Horioka and Sekita (2009)	The Japanese Panel Survey of Consumers (JPSC)	single country: Japan	logarithm of the loan amount granted during the past year, household's loan application	interval regression	AGE, AGED, INC, WEALTH, HOME, LOAN, SELF- EMPLOYED, UNEMPLOYE D, REPAY, TENURE, COLLEGE, MARRIED, CHILD, METRO, MEDIUM CITY, PREFECTURA L GDP, BAD LOANS, HERFINDAHL , DEPTH	better judicial enforcement (i.e., faster court proceedings): (+) repayment of loans (+) loan size
Jappelli et al (2002)	panel data on leding to firms, credit rationing and interest rates in Italian provinces, data from the Italian National Institute of Statistics (ISTAT), Credit market data from the Centrale dei Rischi database	single country: Itlay	ratio of loans to GDP, indicator of credit rationing, spread between the lending rate and the T-bill rate, ratio of values of non- performing loans to total loans, length of trial, pending trials	OLS regression	Length of trials (months), Stock of pending trials (per thousand inhabitants), Herfindhal index, First lag of real GDP, Second lag of real GDP	trial lengths/ backlog: (-) availability of credit
Pinheiro and Cabral (1999)	Returned Check Register managed by the Central Bank, Register of Defaulters, Credit Protection Service, databases from SERASA and SCI, survey conducted by IDESP	single country: Brazil	Log(Total Credit/GDP), log(Rural Credit/GDP), log(Non- Rural Credit/GDP)	cross- country regressions , t- statistics derived using White's asymptotic covariance matrix	Per Capita GDP, Share of Agr. Activ. in GDP, Index of Judic. Inefficiency, Cost, Slowness, Unfairness	judicial enforcement (slowness, fairness and cost of judiciary): (+) ratio of credit to GDP, (+) developme nt of credit markets, (+) economic development and growth

Christin i et al (2001)	survey of the manufacturing industry, survey of leading local banks, panel of provincial data, Foundation for Economic Research in Latin America	single country: Argentina	<b>CRP/PBG</b> (credit to the private sector as a percentage of GDP), <b>CRPA/CRP</b> (loans in arrears as a percentage of total credit to the private sector)	variance covariance matrix White's method	public provincial banks, unemployment rate, interest rates on interbank loans, participation of regional banks in the respective jurisdictions, index of effectiveness of provincial judicial systems	Judicial effectiveness : (+) amount of credit available, (-) stock of nonperforming loans, (+) credit-to- GDP ratio		
Firms' size and entry rates								
Beck et al (2004)	annual data, for the period 1988-97 both developing and developed	cross- country (44 countries)	Firm Size	crosssectio nal regressions	private credit (as share of GDP), market capitalization (as share of GDP), judicial efficiency, corruption and property rights, Net Fixed Assets divided by Total Assets, Net Sales to Net Fixed Assets, Return on Assets	efficient legal systems: (+) firm size, (+) external financing protection of property rights: (+) firm size		
Lorenza ni and Lucidi (2014)	dataset based on the reports by the Council of Europe's European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ), over the period 2006-2010	cross- country: Europe	(log) backlog ratio, (log) disposition time, firm entry rate, churn rates, national net inflows of FDI	OLS and RE, FE	court size, courts to population ratio, judges to population ratio, litigation ratio, share of public budget for courts ICT, disposition time	judicial efficiency (disposition time and pendency ratio): (+) firm's entry rate		

Ippoliti et al (2015)	Data on judicial systems from the 4th CEPEJ Report (Council of Europe - European Commission for the	cross- country: European Countries	ESHIP (Enforcing Contract - Distance to Frontier index)	OLS regressions , Truncated Regression s, Least	EFF, Clearance Rate, Civil Liberties index, Budget, GDP, Education, Life, Population,	Judicial efficiency (clearance rate and technical efficency): (+) entreprene
	Judiciary Efficiency)			Square Regression s	GERM_D, SOCIAL_D, SCAND_D	urial action
Kumer et al (2001)	publication of Enterprises in Europe by the European Commission	cross- country: European Countries	log of the weighted number of employees per firm, weighted coefficient of variation of the number of employees per firm	cross- country regressions , OLS	Size of the market, Investment per worker, R&D intensity, Sector wage, External dependence, Per capita income, Human capital, Human capital inequality, financial development	efficiency of the judicial system: (+) firm size
La Porta (1997)	sample of all firms from the WorldScope database	cross- country (49 countries)	External cap/GNP, Domestic firms/Pop, IPOs/Pop, Debt/GNP	least squares regression	GDP growth, Log GDP, Rule of law, Origin, Antidirector rights, One Share = One Vote, Creditor rights	<ul> <li>legal rules and</li> <li>law enforcement:</li> <li>(+) size,</li> <li>(+) breadth of</li> <li>capital</li> <li>markets</li> </ul>
Nunn (2007)	data from standard sources	cross- country	averagecontractintensityofproductionorexports,lnxic,naturallogofexportsinindustry	OLS regression	Judicial quality, Number of obs., Skill interaction, Capital interaction	good contract enforcement: (+) investment (relationship- specific)
Doughe rty (2013)	economic census microdata for measuring firm size and characteristics, and survey-based data, that measure judicial quality for	single country: Mexico	weighted average firm size		Judicial quality, Market size, Distance to int'l markets, Distance to domestic	quality of the legal system (institutional quality, duration of cases, quantity and efficiency in use

	contract enforcement along with state-level demographic, distance and gravity-type data				markets, Foreign market potential, GDP size	of resources): (+) firm size
Garcia- Posada and Mora- Sanguin etti (2013)	firm-level database of more than half a million companies and real data	single country: Spain	log of the size- weighted average of the size index and log of the arithmetic average of the size index, corporate entry rate	multivaria te regressions , regressions with clustered standard errors robust to heterosked asticity and serial correlation	Judicial Inefficacy, Congestion rate, Incorporation rate, Capital intensity, Vertical Integratio, Log (population), GDP per capita, Unemployment rate, Demographic density, Credit/GDP, Npl ratio, Dar/GDP, Weight energy, Weight manufacturing, Weight construction, Weight services	judicial efficacy (set of efficacy measures, incl. type of civil procedure, congestion and resolution rate, etc.): (+) firm size
Garcia- Posada and Mora- Sanguin etti (2014)	data come from the DIRCE database (Directorio Central de Empresas) constructed by the Spanish National Statistics Institute (INE) and from the CGPJ database	single country: Spain	log of the entry rate of all firms, log of the entry rate of entrepreneurs, log of the entry rate of corporations, log of the exit rate of all firms, log of the exit rate of entrepreneurs, log of the exit rate of corporations	regressions with clustered standard errors robust to heterosked asticity and serial correlation	Congestion Rate, GDP, unemployment rate, Credit/GDP, Npl ratio, Dar/GDP, Branches, Weight primary/ energy/ manufactoring/ construction/ services, vertical integration,	efficiency (see above): (+) entry rate of firms

					capital intensity, Foreigners, Regulation, Tax Pressure, Lawyers	
Giacom elli and Menon (2013)	dataset with data on judicial efficiency, firm size (employment and accounting based measures) in the manufacturing sector and controls at municipal level	single country: Italy	Av. plant size, Plants/pop., Employment/pop., EWAS	OLS regression	Average turnover 2008/09, Av. turnover growth 2001/09, Population, Share of h.s. graduates, Crime, Foreigner share, Litigation rate, Bank branches, Local tax rate	efficient tribunals (length of civil trials): (+) firm size

Authors	Data source	Countries covered	Dependent variable(s)	Method	Independent variables	Relevant results			
Loans size and length									
Araujo et al (2012)	BCGI index: public sources firm-specific accounting data	single country: Brazil	cost of debt, amount of debt	pooled cross section	Bankruptcy law; Bankruptcy law*BCGI BCGI	Debt: (+) Bankruptcy law			
Bae and Goyal (2009)	Dealscan database compiled by the Loan Pricing Corporation (LPC)	cross- country (US and non-US)	Loans size; Loans maturity; Loans spread	OLS	Property rights index; Creditor rights index; Loan characteristics; Syndicate structure Firm characteristics	Loans size: (+) Property rights Loans maturity: (+) Property rights			
Chang and Schoar (2006)	Chapter 11 filings PACER Dun & Bradstree NETS	single country: US	ProDebtor/ProCreditor dummy	OLS	Refile; Out of Business; Rating; Sales	pro-debtor dummy: (+) re-filing rates (+) out of business After 5 years: (-) rating (-) sales			
Djankov et al (2005)	IMF's International Financial Statistics Public data soucres	cross country: 129 countries (european and non- european)	Private Credit to GDP	OLS	Contract enforcement days; Creditor rights	Private creditor to GDP: (+) creditor rights (-) contract enforcement days			
Fan et al (2010)	Worldscope	cross country: 39 countries	Total debt/Market value of the firm; Long-term debt/Total debt; Total debt/Total	Famma MacBeth	Bankruptcy code	Total debt/Market: (+) bankruptcy code long-term			

## Annex 3 – Studies on the effect of reforms of the bankruptcy regime on economic outcomes

			<b>A</b>			1144 4 1 1 1 4
			Assets			debt/total debt: (+) bankruptcy code Leverage:
						(+) bankruptcy code
Qian and Strahan (2005)	LPC's Dealscan database; Worldbank	cross- country: 60 countries by legal origin (excludes US)	Loan maturity; Spreads; Secured indicator	Probit/OLS	Legal Origin, Credit Rights Index Property Rights Index,	Loans maturity: (+) creditors rights (+) legal formalism
Rodano et al (2012)	Central Credit Register; Taxia; Cerved database	single country: Italy	Interest Rate on loans; Number of banks; Secured lending; Short term lending; Leverage; Credit constrains	OLS	After Reorganization (AR); After Liquidation (AL); AR*treatment; AL*treatment; Interim period*treat	Short term lending: (-) AF*Treat Leverage: (+) Interim Period*Treat Number of banks: (+) AF*Treat
Safavian and Sharma (2007)	World Bank's "Enterprise Surveys" Doing Business	cross country: 27 european countries	Bank Investment Bank loan	Panel Regression	Creditor Rights (CR); CR*Enforcement; Court Time; Court Enforcement; Court Speed; Firm size	Bank investment: (+) Creditor rights (+) CR*Enforce (-) Enforce Bank loan: (+) Creditor rights (+) Creditor rights*Enforce
			Cost of funding			
Araujo et al (2012)	BCGI index: public sources firm-specific accounting data	single country: Brazil	cost of debt, amount of debt	Difference- in- difference	Bankruptcy law; Bankruptcy law*BCGI	Cost of debt: (-) Bankruptcy law

					BCGI	
Bae and Goyal (2009)	Dealscan database compiled by the Loan Pricing Corporation (LPC)	cross- country (US and non-US)	Loans size; Loans maturity; Loans spread	OLS	Property rights index; Creditor rights index; Loan characteristics; Syndicate structure Firm characteristics	Loan spread: (-) Property rights (-) Creditor rights
Qian and Strahan (2005)	LPC's Dealscan database	cross- country (60 countries by legal origin)	Loan maturity; Spreads	Probit/OLS	Legal Origin, Credit Rights Index Property Rights Index,	Spreads: (-) Property rights
Rodano et al (2012)	Central Credit Register; Taxia; Cerved database	single country: Italy	Interest Rate on loans; Number of banks; Secured lending; Short term lending; Leverage; Credit constrains	OLS	After Reorganization (AR); After Liquidation (AL); AR*treatment; AL*treatment Interim Period*treat	Interest rate: (-) AL; (+) AR Secured lending: (+) After CP*treat (+) Interim period*Treat (+) AF*Treat Number of banks: (+) AF*Treat
Visaria (2009)	Data from a large Indian private sector bank with branches throughout the country	single country: India	Interest rates	OLS fixed effects	After state DRT; Log size; Log size*after DRT; Group 2*after DRT; Group 2*after DRT; DRT;	Interest rate: (-) Group 2*after DRT*log size

#### Artigo 05/2016 • The impact of structural reforms of the judicial system: a survey

			collateral			
Davydenko and Franks (2008)	sample of defaulted small-to-medium size firms from 10 banks	cross country (France, Germany, and the United Kingdom)	Formal Bankruptcy <sup>14</sup> ; Piecemeal Liquidation <sup>15</sup> ; Bankruptcy; Workouts	OLS Heckman	U.K.; FR; GE; EAD; Collateral/EAD	Bankruptcy: (-)FR (+)Collateral/ EAD
Haselmann et al (2006)	Bankscope database; EBRD; BEPS; Worldbank	cross country: 12 CEE transition economies	log (loans)	differences- in- differences	Creditor Rights; Collateral; Bankruptcy; Loans	Loans: (+) collateral
Qian and Strahan (2005)	LPC's Dealscan database	cross- country (60 countries by legal origin)	Loan maturity; Spreads; Secured indicator	Probit/OLS	Legal Origin, Credit Rights Index Property Rights Index,	Secured indicator: (+)Creditors Rights (+)Property Rigths
Rodano et al (2012)	Central Credit Register; Taxia; Cerved database	single country: Italy	Interest Rate on loans; Number of banks; Secured lending; Short term lending; Leverage; Credit constrains	OLS	After Reorganization (AR) After Liquidation (AL); AR*treatment; AL*treatment Interim; Period*treatment	Secured lending: (+) After CP*treat (+) Interim period*Treat (+) AF*Treat

<sup>14</sup> (1) 1\_if the defaulted firm is reorganized in a formal bankruptcy, and 0\_if there is a successful workout (2) 1\_if the firm is eventually closed and liquidated piecemeal and 0\_if it is preserved <sup>15</sup> (3) undiscounted recovery rate; (4) Interest spread

Paper	Source	Cross- contry/ Single country	Dependent variable	Regressio n / Methods	Independent variable	Results				
	covenants									
Qi et al (2010)	FISD; US bonds' market	cross country: sample of corporate bonds issued in the U.S. by borrowers incorporate d in more than 50 countries	Covenant dummy; number of covenants; types of covenants used; individual covenant used	probit regression	Creditor rights index; Shareholder rights index; Public enforcement index; Public information sharing; Effectiveness of bankruptcy law	Number/types of debt covenants: (-) Creditor rights index; (+) Shareholde r rights Number debt covenants: (+) Effective bankruptcy law (+) Public				
		nveetment ee	If amployment and	antronronour	chin	enforcement				
Acharya and Subram anian (2009)	Patents: USPTO and NBER	85 countries	Number of citations; Number of patents Number of patenting firms	Difference- in- difference	Creditor rights change dummy	Number of citations: (-) CR dummy; Number of patents: (-) CR dummy Number of patenting firm: (-) CR dummy				
Amour and Cummi ng (2008)	data on self- employment (Eurostat)	cross country: 15 countries (Europe and North America)	Self-Employment / Population	OLS, difference- indifferenc es regression	Different measures of bankrupt cy system (discharge, exemptions, disability and composition)	Self- employment/ Population: (+) bankruptcy law				
Carcea et al (2015)	pre-insolvency framework data; IMF Financial Soundness Indicators	cross country: Europe (28 member states)	Self-employment rate	OLS	Insolvency (4 dimensions); EPL	Self- employment: (+) Efficiency; (+) EPL				

Johnson et al (2002)	Surveys of new firms in Russia, Ukraine, Poland, Romania and Slovakia	cross- country (post- communist countries)	Index of perceived insecurity of propriety rights	Probit	Reinvestment rate s	Reinvestment rate: (-) perceived insecurity of propriety rights
Ponticel li (2012)	monthly reports of Brazilian courts, surveys of firms constructed by the Brazilian Institute of Statistics (IBGE) Annual Industrial Survey (PIA) Survey of Technological Innovation (PINTEC)	single country: Brazil	change in log (capital investment); log (backlog/judge)	difference- in- difference strategy, OLS, 2SLS	Capital investment	Capital investment: (+) bankruptcy court (-)backlog per judge